UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,907	02/03/2004	Cyril A. Migdal	0206-PA	3867
7590 03/07/2007 CROMPTON CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
Benson Road		•	LANG, AMY T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Middlebury, C	1 00/49			
			3731	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			AL.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/771,907	MIGDAL ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Amy T. Lang	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			8.1
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,11-14 and 19</u> is/a	re withdrawn from cons	ideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>5-10, 15-18, and 20-25</u> is/are rejected	l.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) . Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		. :
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.	. ,
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			1.7
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	en received in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
·			
Attachment(s)		•	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice o	f Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

The new grounds of rejection set forth below are necessitated by applicant's amendment filed on 12/11/2006. In particular, claims 5-10, 15-18, and 20-25. This combination of limitations was not present in the original claims. Thus, the following action is properly made final.

All previous rejections not included in this office action have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments filed 12/11/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3731

3. Claims 5-10, 15-18, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyes-Gavilan (EP 1,054,052 A2) in view of Wegmann (WO 2005/023886 A1).

With regard to **claims 5-10 and 15-21**, Reyes-Gavilan discloses a lubricating composition comprised of lubricating oil and antioxidants ([0001], [0048]). The antioxidants encompass a hindered phenolic and a thioether compound having the formula (C₁₃H₂₇OCCH₂CH₂)₂S, which clearly overlaps the instantly claimed structure in claims 21 and 22. Additionally, the thioether compound overlaps the instantly claimed ditridecyldithiopropionate since both terminal alkyl groups comprise 13 carbon atoms (see instant specification page 5, lines 19-20). Reyes-Gavilan further teaches that other hindered phenolics than specified can be utilized in the composition ([00471]). However, Reyes Gavilan does not specifically disclose the hindered phenolic as butyl-3-(3-5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenol)propionate.

Wegmann also discloses a composition that utilizes the combination of hindered phenolic antioxidants and thioether antioxidants to stabilize oxidation (page 1, lines 3-8; page 2, lines 10-12). The hindered phenolic antioxidant is further disclosed as an ester of (3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid with a mono alcohol, such as methanol, ethanol, or octanol (page 7, lines 7-12). Since Wegmann discloses the mono alcohol as having either 1, 2, or 8 –OH groups, it would have been obvious for Wegmann to use butyl, since 4 –OH groups falls between 2 and 8. Therefore, the scope of Wegmann discloses a butyl-3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenol)propionate antioxidant.

Art Unit: 3731

The specific combination of antioxidants disclosed by Wegmann is shown to provide increased thermal stability from the comparative examples disclosed (page 23, lines 6-10).

Reyes-Gavilan discloses the combination of a hindered phenolic antioxidant and thioether antioxidant, where other hindered phenolic antioxidants than disclosed can be utilized. This combination is utilized to resist oxidation by increasing the thermal stability of the composition ([0003]). Wegmann utilizes the same combination, but with a specific hindered phenolic antioxidant, to also produce increased thermal oxidation of the lubricating composition. Since the combination of antioxidants disclosed by Wegmann is shown to produce beneficial results, it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for Reyes-Gavilan to also utilize the specific hindered phenolic antioxidant disclosed by Wegmann for the advantageous results.

The amount of the hindered phenolic antioxidant, as disclosed by Reyes-Gavilan, ranges from 25 to 60 wt% of the lubricating composition, while the amount of the thioether is from 5 to 30 wt% ([0047], [0062]). Therefore, the lubricating composition comprises 60 wt% of the hindered phenolic antioxidant, which is greater than the instantly claimed 40 wt%. Furthermore, if the hindered phenolic is present at 30 wt% and the thioether at 25 wt%, the ratio of hindered phenolic to thioether is 55:45, which clearly overlaps the instant claims.

Art Unit: 3731

Other additives are present in the lubricant composition of Reyes-Gavilan including viscosity index improvers, pour point depressants, dispersant, detergents, rust inhibitors, antiwear agents, metal deactivators, and other antioxidants ([0052] – [0060]).

With regard to **claims 22-25**, Reyes-Gavilan teaches incorporating the additional thioether antioxidant with the hindered phenolic antioxidant is advantageous ([0047]). Since Reyes-Gavilan is silent regarding how the thioether is incorporated into the lubricant composition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to add the two antioxidants together as a blend solution.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy Lang whose telephone number is (571) 272-9057. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

02/20/2007 Amy T. Lang *A*TC

> ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/4/07